World Without Orphans Key Results:
Framework and Indicators

Overview

The WWO Key Results measures align with WWO Objectives. The Key Results span outputs and outcomes, and are linked to impacts. They are based on the WWO Global Strategy, 2020-2023, which emphasizes the hybrid model that links the stability of structure, with the nimbleness and access of networks.

The Key Results measure progress in advancing and implementing the 4 foundations from the WWO Roadmap, shown below:

I.   **Broadscale Collaboration**
II. **Intervention**
III. **Prevention**
IV. **Living Refreshed / Self-Care**

I.   Broadscale Collaboration

WWO NATIONAL TEAM COLLABORATION AND COORDINATION (adapted from P. 99, INSPIRE Indictors, Coordination) https://www.unicef.org/media/66896/file/INSPIRE-IndicatorGuidance-ResultsFramework.pdf

Purpose: To measure WWO national collaboration and coordination to advance the WWO mission and the WWO Roadmap Foundations. Such collaboration is an intended result of the WWO strategy focused on broadscale collaboration, based on evidence that they catalyze coordinated national action.

Data sources: WWO National Facilitation Team (may be adapted for WWO Regional Team as well).

Operational definition: Existence of a (1) _functioning, (2) collaborative, (3) multi-sphere_ 'National WWO Facilitation Team' tasked with developing national plans and strategies that support the WWO Mission, Vision, and Values. _'Functioning'_ is defined as holding meetings at least twice in the calendar year, documented by meeting minutes that describe clearly defined action items as outcomes of the meeting, that are consistent with WWO DNA, and with the 4 foundations of the WWO Roadmap, including living refreshed/self-care. _'Collaborative'_ includes those who represent churches and FBOs/NGOs, or subject matter experts, and may take various forms, including co-implementation of projects, partnerships, task forces, and/or coalitions. _Multi-sphere_ facilitation teams include those embracing the WWO Vision and Mission and representing at least 3 of the following spheres -- government, education, arts/entertainment, business, family, religion, and media/digital).

There is the also the intent that the National WWO Facilitation Team collaborates by partnering broadly across church networks, across faiths, across FBOs and NGOS, and across government sectors and national/regional/global stakeholders, such as United Nations agencies, academic organizations, donors, and/or the private sector.

World Without Orphans Collaboration questionnaire

 1. <u>FUNCTIONING</u>: Has your country convened and supported a multi-stakeholder national facilitation team meeting at least twice in the past 12 months to focus on growing in all 4 foundations of the WWO Roadmap, including collaboration, intervention, prevention, and living refreshed? *(yes/no)*   -- Functioning teams will record minutes that name clearly defined action items that were the outcome of each meeting.

2. <u>COLLABORATIVE</u>: Does the national facilitation team include faith leaders, FBO/NGO leaders, and is there shared implementation of activities that support orphaned and vulnerable children and families? *(yes/no)* -- The national team will benefit from keeping a list of all organization or networks (agency/organization, focal point) involved.

3. <u>MULTI-SPHERE</u>: Does the team collaborate with a variety of stakeholders and actors, including at least three of the following spheres of influence: government, education, media, technology, business, family, youth, academics, donor groups, and businessmen? *(yes/no)*
-- Multi-sphere teams will list names of stakeholders involved (agency/organization and focal point).

Method of computation - A functioning national facilitation team exists and meets key criteria based on a qualitative assessment: (1) All three benchmark criteria met; (2) Partial – meets two benchmark criteria); (3) Limited – meets only one benchmark criterion; (4) Does not exist or meet any of the benchmark criteria.

II.   Intervention

Purpose: To measure WWO national team contributions to building the capacity of: 1) <u>*leaders*</u>, with a primary focus on leaders in the local church and a secondary focus on other practitioners or volunteers who support the WWO mission, vision and values; and of 2) <u>*organizations*</u>, including church infrastructures, local churches, FBO's, NGO's, and governments, to serve orphaned and vulnerable children and their parents and caregivers, using best practices as outlined in the WWO Roadmap. Additionally, impact measures include the numbers reached, for <u>*orphaned and vulnerable children*</u> and 4) <u>*parents and caregivers.*</u>

Specifically, 'Intervention' measures focus on strengthening families by supporting reunification, and where reunification is not safe or possible, by supporting fostering and adoption. The aim is to document outputs, outcomes, and impacts, for transitioning children into safe and loving family-based care, using the principles of suitability and necessity.

Data sources: WWO National Facilitation Team and national-to-local faith leaders

Operational definition: Use of internationally-recognized best practices to build the capacity to deliver quality care and services based on the WWO Roadmap, that help transition vulnerable children into safe and loving families and support such families, for 1) leaders (as above) and 2) organizations (as above). Such leaders and organizations will then demonstrate they are actually able to reach vulnerable children and their parents and caregivers with quality care and services.

World Without Orphans Intervention questions

1. How many <u>children</u> received transitional care services, including wrap-around services, after capacity buliding through WWO local church ministries or WWO network collaborators? *(total number of children)*

2. How many <u>parents or caregivers</u> received support for transitioning children into safe and loving families or supporting their ability to remain in safe and loving families, after capacity

building through WWO local church ministries or WWO network collaborators? *(total number of parents/caregivers)*

3. How many *leaders*, including volunteers, practitioners, advocates, champions, or providers were trained in delivering quality transition/intervention family-based care services consistent with the WWO Roadmap, including support services, to vulnerable children and their families? *(total number of leaders)*

4. What was the number of organizations, by type of organization (church, FBO, etc., as above) that received training to strenthen their capacity to deliver transitional family-based care, consistent with the WWO Roadmap? *(total number of organizations)? And,* how many different trainings were received *(total number of trainings)*

Method of computation – Answers for each question above are quantitative and represented as simple sums.

III.   Prevention

Purpose: To measure WWO national team contributions to building the capacity of: 1) *leaders*, with a primary focus on leaders in the local church and secondary focus on other practitioners or volunteers who support the WWO mission, vision and values; and 2) *organizations*, including church structures, local churches, FBO's, NGO's, and governments, to serve orphaned and vulnerable children and their parents/caregivers, using best practices as in the WWO Roadmap. Additionally, impact measures include the numbers reached, for *orphaned and vulnerable children* and *parents and caregivers.*

Specifically, 'Prevention' measures focus on using the best available evidence to prevent and respond to violence and abuse for those children living in vulnerable families, as these factors are key drivers of orphanhood, , outcomes, and impacts, for programs and policies that both vulnerability, and institutionalization of children. The aim is to document outputs prevent violence and abuse before it happens, and also promote early response for children who are victims of violence and abuse in their own homes and communities.

Data sources: WWO National Facilitation Team and national-to-local faith leaders

Operational definition: Use of internationally-recognized best practices to build the capacity to deliver quality programs and practices based on the WWO Roadmap, to help advance prevention of sexual, physical and emotional violence, and early response for those children that are victims of such violence in their families and/or communities. The 'Prevention' programs and policies are based on 7 evidence-based strategies for preventing violence and vulnerability. The aim is training in each strategy to help children grow up in safe, stable and nurturing families and relationships, and to grow up protected from violence and abuse. WWO

collaborative networks and WWO Roadmap trainings will serve 1) leaders (as above) and 2) organizations (as above). Such leaders and organizations will then demonstrate they are actually able to reach vulnerable children and their parents and caregivers with quality care and services in the area of 'Prevention'.

World Without Orphans Prevention questions

1. How many <u>children</u> were served through evidence-based prevention programs and services using the WWO Roadmap 7 strategies (Implement protective policies, Norms that protect children, Safe environments, Parenting and caregiver support, Income strengthening, Response services, Education and life skills), after capacity buliding through WWO local church ministries or WWO network collaborators? *(total number of children, along with disaggregation by number of programs received (1, 2, 3+)*

2. How many <u>parents and caregivers</u> were served thorugh evidence-based prevention programs and services using the WWO Roadmap 7 strategies (Implement protective policies, Norms that protect children, Safe environments, Parenting and caregiver support, Income strengthening, Response services, Education and life skills), after capacity building through WWO local church ministries or WWO network collaborators? *(total number of parents/caregivers, along with disaggregation by number of programs received (1, 2, 3+)*

3. How many <u>*leaders*</u>, including volunteers, practitioners, advocates, champions, or providers were trained in delivering quality evidence-based prevention program training in one or more of the WWO Roadmap 7 strategies, to protect children from violence and vulnerability? *(total number of leaders)*

4. What number of <u>organizations</u>, by type of organization (church, FBO, etc., as above) received training to strenthen their capacity to deliver evidence based prevention programs in one or more of the WWO Roadmap 7 strategies? *(total number of organizations)? And,* how many different trainings were received *(total number of trainings) And, how many strategies were covered (total number).*

Method of computation – Answers for each question above are quantitative and represented as simple sums for that one question.

IV.   **Living Refreshed / Self-Care** (brief survey widely used across a range of languages and cultures – see reference below)

Purpose: To measure WWO national team contributions to supporting spiritual, relational, and practical self-care, so that those called to help call and equip others in solving their own nation's orphaned and vulnerable children's problem, will themselves live as beloved sons and daughters of their heavenly Father. In so doing, they themselves will be protected from burn-out and also be better equipped and protected as they love and serve others.

Data sources: WWO National Facilitation Team, national-to-local faith leaders, as well as Global and Regional Teams

Operational definition: Use of standard survey questions to measure 1) closeness with God as a key indicator of *spiritual self-care*; 2) overall resilience as a measure of *practical self-care*. The WWO Global team developed key measures of *relational self-care*, based on the WWO Roadmap and expert opinion.

World Without Orphans Spiritual Self-care Questions –
During the past 6 months, how often have you:
1. Experienced the presence and power of God in the ordinary?
   never, sometimes, often, frequently, and always
2. Observed the presence and power of God in your closest relationships?
   never, sometimes, often, frequently, and always
3. Consciously practiced discerning the presence and power of God (through Scripture, worship, prayer, mediation, journaling, or other ways)?
   never, sometimes, often, frequently, and always
4. Felt God's grace and God's love for you as you are, apart from any accomplishments or good works?
   never, sometimes, often, frequently, and always
5. Felt that events were unfolding according to God's intent?
   never, sometimes, often, frequently, and always

   Scoring: each question scored as 1-5, with 5 as high; Then total of each response is summed as a composite measure. Source: "Closeness to God among those doing God's work" (Duke Divinity School)
   https://divinity.duke.edu/sites/divinity.duke.edu/files/documents/chi/Closeness%20to%20God%20Among%20Those%20Doing%20God%27s%20Work_formatted.pdf

World Without Orphans Relational Self-Care Questions
1. Do you a close mutual friendship (of the same gender) with at least one person that you can talk with regularly (at least once a month) in a way that is vulnerable, transparent, and real, about how you are doing?
   Yes/ No
2. Do you have someone in your life who deliberately cares for your spiritual well-being, including someone who prays for you regularly?
   Yes/No
3. Do you have someone you can pray with anytime you need to pray together with someone else?
   Yes/No
4. Do you have at least 2 people you could call in the middle of the night if you needed help?
   Yes/No
5. Can you name 2 people who refresh your soul by pouring into you?
   Yes/No

6. *Are there any obstacles that prevent you from taking the time to build meaningful friendships?*

        Yes/No (If yes, what you can do to make room for those who will build you personally).

7. Do you take time to fill yourself up, so you can meet the needs of those God has given you to care for?   *Yes/no*

Scoring: Assign a value of 1 for each 'yes' and then total the score.

World Without Orphans Practical Self-Care Questions

Brief Resilience Scale (BRS)

Please respond to each item by marking one box per row	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
BRS 1 — I tend to bounce back quickly after hard times	1	2	3	4	5
BRS 2 — I have a hard time making it through stressful events.	5	4	3	2	1
BRS 3 — It does not take me long to recover from a stressful event.	1	2	3	4	5
BRS 4 — It is hard for me to snap back when something bad happens.	5	4	3	2	1
BRS 5 — I usually come through difficult times with little trouble.	1	2	3	4	5
BRS 6 — I tend to take a long time to get over set-backs in my life.	5	4	3	2	1

Scoring: Add the responses varying from 1-5 for all six items giving a range from 6-30. Divide the total sum by the total number of questions answered.

My score: _____ item average / 6

BRS Score Interpretation
1.00 - 2.99: Low resilience
3.00 - 4.30: Normal resilience
4.31 - 5.00: High resilience

Reference: Smith, B.W., Dalen, J., Wiggins, K., Tooley, E., Christopher, P., & Bernard, J. (2008). The brief resilience scale: Assessing the ability to bounce back. *International journal of behavioural medicine, 15*, 194-200.

Method of computation – See 'scoring' instructions for each section above to compute separate scores for spiritual, practical, and relational self-care.